The Dam1 ring binds microtubules strongly enough to be a processive as well as energy-efficient coupler for chromosome motion

Ekaterina L. Grishchuk^{*†*}, Artem K. Efremov^{*§}, Vladimir A. Volkov^{*§}, Ilia S. Spiridonov^{*§}, Nikita Gudimchuk[¶], Stefan Westermann^{||}, David Drubin^{**}, Georjana Barnes^{**}, J. Richard McIntosh^{*†}, and Fazly I. Ataullakhanov^{§¶††}

*Molecular, Cellular, and Developmental Biology Department, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309; ⁵National Research Centre for Hematology, Moscow 125167, Russia; [†]Institute of General Pathology and Pathophysiology, Moscow 125315, Russia; [¶]Physics Department, Moscow State University, Moscow 119992, Russia; [∥]Research Institute of Molecular Pathology, 1030 Vienna, Austria; **Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720; and ^{††}Center for Theoretical Problems of Physicochemical Pharmacology, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow 119991, Russia

Contributed by J. Richard McIntosh, August 14, 2008 (sent for review July 13, 2008)

Accurate chromosome segregation during mitotic division of budding yeast depends on the multiprotein kinetochore complex, Dam1 (also known as DASH). Purified Dam1 heterodecamers encircle microtubules (MTs) to form rings that can function as "couplers," molecular devices that transduce energy from MT disassembly into the motion of a cargo. Here we show that MT depolymerization develops a force against a Dam1 ring that is sixfold larger than the force exerted on a coupler that binds only one side of an MT. Wild-type rings slow depolymerization fourfold, but rings that include a mutant Dam1p with truncated C terminus slow depolymerization less, consistent with the idea that this tail is part of a strong bond between rings and MTs. A molecularmechanical model for Dam1-MT interaction predicts that binding between this flexible tail and the MT wall should cause a Dam1 ring to wobble, and Fourier analysis of moving, ring-attached beads corroborates this prediction. Comparison of the forces generated against wild-type and mutant complexes confirms the importance of tight Dam1-MT association for processive cargo movement under load.

depolymerization | kinetochore | laser trapping | mitosis | tubulin

Depolymerizing microtubules (MTs) can generate enough force to move mitotic chromosomes in the absence of MTdependent, minus-end-directed motors (1–6). MT shortening can do work, thanks to the disassembly pathway of tubulin-containing protofilaments (PFs), the 13 linear polymers that comprise the MT wall. During depolymerization, PFs lose their lateral attachments and bend out from the MT axis (7, 8). As PFs curl toward their minimum energy shape, they can do mechanical work, e.g., pushing on a microbead statically attached to the MT wall via biotinstreptavidin links (9). Such beads experience a "single-shot" power stroke from the bending PFs, after which they detach, together with dissociating tubulins.

Similar power strokes might move mitotic chromosomes. Chromosomes could be attached to depolymerizing MTs via encircling rings (10), so the MT depolymerization force is collected from all 13 bending PFs (11). It is imperative, however, that a chromosome–MT coupler does not detach, because this would lead to chromosome loss. A successful ring-shaped coupler should therefore be efficient in taking advantage of the energy from MT depolymerization and have stable attachment, so the chromosome motion is processive. complexes (16, 17). Several polypeptides contribute to these protein arms, but deletion of 138 amino acids from the C terminus of only one of them, Dam1p, noticeably reduces the mass of the protrusions and the strength of Dam1-MT binding (12, 16, 17).

These discoveries have prompted theoretical work on the biomechanical design of the Dam1 ring (19, 20). Modeling has suggested that at least two features of Dam1 rings facilitate the transduction of a large fraction of the MT depolymerization energy: the ring's large diameter and the flexibility of its connections with the MT wall (11, 16, 19). If these Dam1 protrusions bind specific sites on tubulin dimers, their flexibility would provide an additional benefit by allowing more ring–MT bonds, thereby increasing the ring's affinity for an MT.

Once ring diameter and linker flexibility are defined, the most critical remaining parameter of ring coupling in our model is the strength of the ring-MT bonds (19). Rings that are otherwise identical but vary in the strength of this bond can all follow a shortening MT end, but the "conformational wave" of PF bending promotes motility of weakly vs. strongly bound rings by different mechanisms. Rings that bind weakly diffuse fast on the MT wall, so bending PFs serve mostly as ratchets to bias these thermal motions. Intuitively, this situation seems excellent, allowing rings to slide without much resistance and making biased diffusion efficient. However, such couplers are vulnerable to variations in the rate of tubulin depolymerization; if PF flaring is lost or decreased, e.g., through a pause in shortening or thermal fluctuations, a weakly bound ring can readily detach from the MT end, even under a very small load (19). Thus, other factors, such as additional protein complexes or some not yet specified features of the Dam1 complex itself, would have to help a weakly bound ring to hang on to the shortening MT end.

This problem is elegantly solved if the Dam1 complex binds strongly to the MT lattice. Binding, even as strong as $15-17 k_BT$ per bond, does not preclude ring motion at the shortening MT end; PF bending can still move a ring that is this tightly bound, provided it has a relatively large diameter and flexible connecting structures [a "forced walk" mechanism (19)]. Unfortunately, the existing biochemical data on Dam1-MT affinity provide values that differ by two orders of magnitude (12, 21). Other measurements of binding strength have also led to a broad range of estimates, so these data

CELL BIOLOGY

The ring hypothesis received a boost with the discovery that the Dam1 kinetochore complex from budding yeast can form MTencircling rings under physiological conditions *in vitro* (12, 13). Structural, biochemical, and kinetic properties of these rings have suggested that they might indeed function as chromosome couplers in yeasts (12–18). The inner diameter of a Dam1 ring is \approx 10 nm wider than the outer diameter of an MT, but the ring binds directly to the MT wall thanks to inward-directed protrusions from Dam1

Author contributions: E.L.G., J.R.M., and F.I.A. designed research; E.L.G., A.K.E., V.A.V., I.S.S., N.G., and F.I.A. performed research; S.W., D.D., and G.B. contributed new reagents/ analytic tools; E.L.G., A.K.E., V.A.V., I.S.S., N.G., and F.I.A. analyzed data; and E.L.G. and J.R.M. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

⁺To whom correspondence may be addressed. E-mail: katya@colorado.edu or richard.mcintosh@colorado.edu.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/ 0807859105/DCSupplemental.

^{© 2008} by The National Academy of Sciences of the USA



Fig. 1. Quantitative analysis of the force-transducing attachments. (*A*) A schematic of the experimental system. Position of beads bound to the GDP-MT walls was followed with QPD before and after induction of MT disassembly. (*B*) Unprocessed QPD records of representative signals from a Dam1-coated bead in the presence of soluble Dam1 and a streptavidin-coated bead (*Inset*) relative to the center of the laser trap. (*C*) Mean values for the four parameters that describe force signals (see ref. 9 for details). (*Left*) 0.5- μ m streptavidin-coated beads assayed with biotinylated MTs (n = 35). (*Right*) Wild type Dam1-coated beads with soluble Alexa488–Dam1 (n = 26). (*D* and *E*) Force measurements carried out with streptavidin-coated beads: 0.5 μ m (n = 45), 1 μ m (n = 81; includes data from ref. 9), 2 μ m (n = 35). Photos show DIC images of representative beads attached to MT walls and their fluorescence images (red) taken during dissolution of the rhodaminated GMPCPP caps (arrowheads). Graph shows median values for force amplitudes for these beads. Trap stiffness, \approx 0.008 pN/nm. (*F*) Drawing (not to scale) of the forces from MT depolymerization and the laser trap. The fulcrum is at ring's edge on the bead-distal side of the MT; because of the ring's tilt, *F*_{MT} is likely to act slightly off the MT axis, so its lever arm *r* is defined by a range. The bead (not shown) is 15-times larger than the ring, so a relatively small trapping force can stall the MT-disassembly-driven movement of the ring.

do not help to distinguish between possible mechanisms for Dam1 ring motility.

Here we test the idea that the mechanisms for coupler motility on shortening MTs can be clarified by certain properties of Dam1 motion. We use an *in vitro* system based on purified Dam1 complexes and tubulin polymers to assess both the force that shortening MTs can exert on two alleles of Dam1 oligomers and the effects of these complexes on the rates of MT shortening. The data support the notion that wild type Dam1 binds strongly to the MT lattice through the inward-pointing projection. Our results explain how a mobile ring coupler can both harness a large force from MT disassembly and ensure processive chromosome motion.

Results

Dam1 Rings Capture a Significant Force from Disassembling MTs. A coupler that encircles an MT but does not bind its wall could in theory experience a force of \approx 75 pN from a depolymerizing MT, given the energy associated with GTP hydrolysis (11). A coupler modeled on the Dam1 ring is expected to harness a significant fraction of this force, although rings that are bound more tightly to the MT will stall under a smaller load, so their energy efficiency should be smaller (19). To measure the force that MT depolymerization exerts on a Dam1 ring in vitro, we have used an established method (9) for tethering the minus end of an MT grown from purified bovine tubulin to a coverslip, leaving its plus end free but stabilized by a photodissociable cap of tubulin, assembled in the presence of guanosine-5'-[(α,β) -methyleno]triphosphate (GMPCPP) (Fig. 1A). A bead bound to the wall of such an MT can be clasped in a laser trap and pulled gently toward the MT plus end to generate small tension, and its position can be followed with nanometer precision by a quadrant photodetector (QPD). This method, unlike others (22, 23), allows quantitative comparisons between depolymerization forces transmitted by couplers with different geometries. Here we compare force transients measured with a Dam1 ring with those obtained with previously characterized, nonencircling attachments via biotin-streptavidin links (9).

Shortly after a pulse of light removes the stable cap, allowing the MT to shorten, the MT-associated, 0.5- μ m bead displays a brief movement toward the MT minus end as depolymerization passes by [supporting information (SI) Movie S1]. The displacement ob-

served, and thus the force exerted on the bead, differed depending on the coupling. If the binding was to only one side of the wall (probably to two PFs), the average force was ≈ 0.4 pN (Fig. 1B) Inset). We then coated beads with bacterially expressed Dam1 complexes labeled with Alexa488 fluorophores (12, 18). When the bead was MT bound under conditions previously identified to promote the formation of MT-encircling oligomers of Dam1 (18), the measured force was 5.7-fold larger. This value is close to the 6.5-fold expected from the action of 13 PFs, rather than 2 PFs (Fig. 1 B and C). The Dam1-coupled forces were slow to develop and lasted significantly longer than those obtained with nonencircling couplers. Because a longer-lasting force signal corresponds to a slower rate of MT depolymerization (9), Dam1 rings appear to retard MT disassembly. The termination of force development is also different in these two systems. In $\approx 50\%$ of our previous measurements with nonencircling couplers, depolymerizationdependent forces decreased gradually (0.8 \pm 0.2 s), presumably because of the randomness of which PFs depolymerized first, bead-associated or not (9). With Dam1 couplers, the relaxation was always <30 ms (Fig. 1C), as one would expect if the PFs were held together by a ring that finally fell off the MT end.

These forces are significantly less than those predicted by our model of a Dam1 ring where the load is attached uniformly (19). The forces recorded here were, however, applied to the Dam1 complex asymmetrically, via a laterally attached bead (Fig. 1.4). We have previously suggested that, in this arrangement, the trapping force creates a torque, so the force measured at the center of the bead is smaller than the force actually exerted by the bending PFs; the ratio of these forces should depend on the diameter of the bead (9). We have tested this supposition by using streptavidin-coated beads with three diameters, all bound to biotinylated MTs and measured under the same conditions (Fig. 1D). The force signals for these beads were inversely proportional to their radii (Fig. 1E), strongly supporting the validity of our mechanical interpretations of this experimental system.

We can apply this interpretation to a ring-based coupler with the following logic. When a bead is attached to an MT wall via a Dam1-ring, the torque from the trapping force should increase the ring's tilt (Fig. 1*F*). In this configuration, the bead's movement will stall when the total MT-parallel force and net torque are zero. The



Fig. 2. Force-coupling via Dam1–19 mutant complexes. (*A*) Typical (*Left*) and one of the largest (*Right*) signals obtained with Dam1–19 coated beads in the presence of soluble Dam1–19. Overall features of these signals are similar to those seen with wild-type protein, but the quantitative characteristics are different. (*B*) Histogram of force amplitudes shows the same range for wild-type and mutant proteins, but most of the Dam1–19 signals were smaller. (*C*) Average characteristics of Dam1–19 signals. The experiments with different beads were done under similar conditions, including initial tension that was applied to the beads.

trapping force, F_{trap} , at the center of the bead, and the opposing force that is exerted on the ring by the MT, F_{opp} , are compensated by the sum of forces exerted on the ring by the bending PFs. The component of this sum along the MT axis, F_{MT} , acts in a direction opposite to the trapping force. Thus, bead movement will stall when $F_{\text{MT}} = F_{\text{trap}}(R_{\text{bead}} + 2R_{\text{ring}})/r$, where R_{ring} and R_{bead} are the radius of the ring and bead, respectively; r is a distance between the fulcrum and the site of action of F_{MT} . Because

$$8 < (R_{\text{bead}} + 2R_{\text{ring}})/r < 17$$

the trapping force that can compensate the MT-disassembly force is roughly 13-fold smaller. Following this logic, the maximum force with which PFs can push [\approx 80 pN (11)] in our setup should be stalled by a trapping force of \approx 6 pN. The average observed trapping force with Dam1 beads was 2.3 pN (range 0.5–5 pN), a little less than half the maximum predicted. This estimate implies that the ring experienced on average \approx 30 pN from bending PFs.

With this geometrical correction, the observed force suggests 40% efficiency in energy transduction by a Dam1 ring. One reason for this low value is that we have not truly stalled MT depolymerization; the bead followed the depolymerizing MT end for a short distance in the trap (<250 nm), experiencing an ever stronger force, and then detached abruptly (Fig. 1*B*). This behavior suggests that the ring detached from the MT or fell apart before or immediately after the stalling force had been reached. Such behavior may be more common when a load is applied asymmetrically, but the result emphasizes the importance of a coupler design that maximizes processivity, not just efficiency of energy transduction. In our model, processivity is provided by comparatively tight ring–MT binding, so we sought ways to examine this idea directly.

Dam1-19 Complexes with Partially Truncated Protrusions Are Poor Force-Transducing Couplers. Dam1 heterodecamers associate with the MT wall through inward-directed protrusions (16, 17). The Dam1-19 allele, a deletion of the C terminus of Dam1p, can still oligomerize into rings around MTs but lacks much of the protrusion from each Dam1 heterodecamer, so these complexes bind MT walls less strongly than wild type (12, 17). In the presence of soluble mutant protein, the Dam1-19-coated beads formed stable attachments with the GDP-containing parts of MT walls, just as beads did with wild type Dam1. The Dam1-19 beads moved readily with shortening MTs, showing little effect on disassembly rate (24 \pm 5 μ m/min, n = 12). We then followed their motions with a stationary laser trap. The overall features of the observed signals and the range of force amplitudes from beads coated with Dam1-19 and wild type Dam1 were quite similar (Fig. 2A and B). As with wild-type protein, Dam1-19 beads detached from the MT ends before we could see a clear stalling of depolymerization. Some of the Dam1-19 signals reached 5 pN, the largest force we detected with wild type Dam1. The frequency of large signals was low, however, so the average amplitude of the forces with Dam1-19 was one-half that of wild type Dam1, although still significantly higher than that seen with nonencircling couplers (Figs. 1*C* and 2*C*). Thus, although Dam1–19 rings can transduce a large force, they frequently fail to do so because they lose their attachment to the shortening MT ends, under comparatively small loads. We concluded that the C-terminal tail of Dam1 protein plays an important role in coupling the Dam1 ring to MTs by contributing to stronger bonding.

Tracking Dam1 Rings Slow the Rate of MT Shortening, Even in the Absence of an External Load. If wild type Dam1 subunits bind tightly to tubulin in the MT wall, then the ring should not slide freely and polymer shortening should be slowed, even with no load attached (19). To study the effect of Dam1 rings on MT dynamics, we added Alexa488-Dam1 complexes to our segmented MTs. We have previously shown that the majority of Alexa488-Dam1 dots that form on MTs in this system comprise single rings or stacks of two rings, but only single rings will track shortening MT ends, moving steadily over the segments of MTs that are free from other complexes (18). We measured the rate of these motions on 117 MTs. The resulting distribution had noticeable asymmetry, with a major peak at 5.4 \pm 0.5 μ m/min (Fig. 3A). This rate is four times slower than MT shortening in the absence of Dam1 complexes (22 μ m/min). This significant retardation of MT disassembly by the end-tracking Dam1 rings suggests that the rings adhere strongly to the MT wall and must be pushed along by the depolymerization process.

The observed extent of depolymerization slowing is predicted by our model when the strength of MT-Dam1 interaction is $13-15 k_BT$ (19), a value that is consistent with the biochemical affinity determined in ref. 12 but much lower than that measured in ref. 21. The latter estimate of $19 k_BT$ would cause such strong ring–MT adhesion that bending PFs could not displace such rings; because the depolymerization motor is fueled by GTP hydrolysis, there is an upper limit to the force that MT shortening can produce (11, 19).

Dam1 Complexes with Fewer Subunits Slow MT Depolymerization Less. Approximately 25% of the wild type Dam1 complexes in our experiments moved faster than the bulk of the distribution, as shown by the shoulder in Fig. 3*A*. This finding could be partially caused by the known variability in the rates with which individual MTs disassemble (24) (Fig. 3*A*). Consistent with this supposition, the rate of Dam1 movement on any one MT was remarkably constant: The ratio of speeds for two segments of Dam1 tracking on the same MT [e.g., the intervals before and after a moving Dam1 ring encountered another ring (18)] was 1.1 ± 0.1 (n = 67). Quantitative analysis showed, however, that the variability of MT disassembly rates could not account for the distribution seen for Dam1, so we sought additional factors.

The faster rates of MT end-tracking could correspond to Dam1 patches, i.e., oligomers with fewer subunits than in a full ring. Dam1 patches can bind the MT walls and track shortening MT ends (18, 21), but these smaller oligomers might cause less or no slowing of MT shortening. We tested this idea by seeking correlations between



Fig. 3. MT end-tracking by wild-type and mutant Dam1 complexes. (*A*) Histograms of MT depolymerization rates. (*B*) Brightness of the tip-tracking Alexa488–Dam1 complexes (soluble concentration 1–2 nM) was normalized with the intensity of a single Alexa488 fluorophore (18) to plot the number of Dam1 subunits vs. their tracking rates. Thermal motion of MTs is the major contributor to this system's noise. (*C* and *D*) Lateral diffusion and end-tracking by Alexa488–Dam1–19. The oblique lines on the kymograph in C (arrows) indicate thermal diffusion. (Scale bar, 2 μ m.) (*E*) Typical kinetics of the end tracking and changes in brightness of the tip-associated Dam1–19 complexes. (*F*) Peak values for the histograms in *A* and for Dam1–19 rates were determined with Rayleigh fitting (18).

the brightness of tracking complexes and the rate with which they track shortening MTs. Complexes with fewer subunits slowed MT disassembly less (Fig. 3*B*). Thus, Dam1 patches and incomplete rings can account for the faster tracking rates in the asymmetric distribution in Fig. 3*A*. Importantly, the fact that smaller Dam1 complexes slow MT depolymerization less than bigger ones supports the notion of strong Dam1–tubulin bonds; if Dam1 rings could slide freely on the MT surface, end-tracking rates should be insensitive to the number of tracking subunits.

Retardation of MT Depolymerization by a Dam1 Ring Depends on the C Terminus of the Dam1 Protein. The role of protein arms, which connect Dam1 heterodecamers with the MT, in ring motility is controversial. In the forced walk mechanism, they ensure strong and specific Dam1-MT bonding that slows MT disassembly (19). In another model, rings are thought to bind the MT wall with no "specific footprint," and these protrusions facilitate ring "free gliding" (14, 17, 25). We tested these predictions by using the Dam1–19 complexes.

The decoration of MTs walls by Alexa488-labeled Dam1–19 was similar to that by wild-type protein, although more mutant than wild-type complexes showed rapid diffusion on the MT wall (Fig. 3C and Movie S2). Like wild type Dam1, the mutant complexes tracked the ends of shortening MTs (Fig. 3D), but the details of their motilities were distinct. Wild type Dam1 tracked ends with constant speed and brightness (18), but Dam1–19 complexes accumulated fluorescence as the MT shortened, and depolymerization slowed as fluorescence brightened (Fig. 3E). These results are consistent with the notion that Dam1–19 rings adhere less strongly to the MT wall than do wild-type rings (12). Furthermore, the rate of Dam1–19 MT end-tracking was 19.4 ± 0.7 μ m/min, considerably faster than that of wild type Dam1 (Fig. 3F). Thus, the protrusions from wild type Dam1 inhibit tracking rather than facilitate it.

Beads Transported by the Dam1 Rings Oscillate Irregularly. Previous calculations have shown that if a ring's protrusions are flexible and bind to specific sites on tubulins in the wall of helical MT, the minimum energy binding occurs when the plane of the ring is tilted relative the MT axis (19). When a ring follows the end of a depolymerizing MT, its orientation must change as it forms these preferred configurations (Fig. 4*A* and Fig. S1). We have tested these predictions by looking for oscillations in the motions of ring-associated beads. As the plane of the ring changes, the center of the bead should move with the same average speed as the ring's center,

but the amplitude of the bead's oscillations will be significantly larger, because it is 15 times bigger than the ring (Movie S3). The instantaneous rate of bead movement can exceed the rate of ring motion, and the bead can even occasionally move backwards. Thus, the motion of ring-associated beads with shortening MT ends should be jagged (Fig. 4B).

When these tracings are analyzed with Fourier transformation, the line spectrum should show discrete peaks. The distribution of frequencies, however, is expected to be disordered, because the bead's oscillations do not mirror those of the ring exactly and are further blurred by thermal noise (*SI Text*). Such features will also depend on the strength of the Dam1 ring binding to MTs, because weaker Dam1–tubulin bonds should, on average, create smoother motions. Fig. 4*C* compares predictions by our model for beads moving under a depolymerization force with the help of rings that bind to tubulin with 3 or 13 k_BT per bond. The 3-k_BT ring with a bead moves faster (Fig. 4*C Upper Insets*), and the amplitude of the bead's oscillations in the low frequency range is, on average, one-half that of the 13-k_BT ring–bead pair.

To compare these results with the behavior of a real ring-bead system, we followed the motions of wild type Dam1-coated beads in a weak stationary laser trap (stiffness 0.010-0.025 pN/nm). MT depolymerization did not drive the beads smoothly; there were visible irregularities 20–30 nm in amplitude and with occasional excursions of as much as 50 nm (Fig. 4 D and E). We detected complex oscillations in 17 of 26 beads (signals parallel to MT axis that gave Fourier peaks in a low frequency range with amplitudes $>4 \times 10^{-3}$). General features of the observed Fourier spectra are highly similar to the predictions. For example, oscillations are both predicted and observed in a 2- to 20-Hz range (Fig. S2). They are seen mostly with beads that are moving under the depolymerization force; thermal oscillations of beads attached to the stable MT or of those that are simply trapped with a laser beam (times before and after MT-induced bead movement in Fig. 4E) are smoother, and the peak amplitudes are less than one-tenth as big. In both prediction and experiment, oscillations along the MT axis are significantly greater than in a perpendicular direction.

Furthermore, these nonthermal motions are seen only under conditions where Dam1 is an encircling coupler, not when beads are coupled to depolymerizing MTs via other couplers (Fig. 4*F*). Only $\approx 26\%$ of Dam1–19 signals showed characteristic oscillations, significantly less frequently than in experiments with wild-type protein, as one would expect based on their MT affinities. Together, these findings strongly support the conclusion that most beads



Oscillatory motions of the Dam1-ring associated beads. (A) The drawing Fig. 4. illustrates the wobbling of the ring and the resulting changes in bead position. (B) Model results for Dam1-tubulin energy 13kBT. (C and D) Comparisons of theoretical and experimental results for 0.5- μ m beads coupled to a Dam1 ring in the presence of soluble Dam1. The rising parts of the force signals (Insets, see also Fig. 1B) are not smooth, as beads pause and even reverse their motions. The spectral characteristics of these irregular oscillations were analyzed after fitting these raw QPD signals with lines whose slopes describe the linear rates of bead movement and then subtracting this component to obtain the variable parts (Upper) and their Fourier transformations (Lower). The exact positions of the peaks and their amplitudes were different for repetitions of the experiments and theoretical calculations, because of the stochasticity of the Dam1 ring-MT system (e.g., compare D, F, and Fig. S2). As a control, similar transformations were done for beads attached to MTs or after their detachment. (E) Full, unprocessed QPD signal (for bead in Movie S1), which shows typical positions of the segments used for spectral analysis. (F) Experimental spectra of movements of another bead attached to a Dam1 ring and a streptavidin-coated bead. Dam1 beads display discrete but highly variable peak frequencies during forced movement parallel to MT, because the attached ring wobbles as it transits stochastically between energetically preferred configurations on MT lattice (19).

coupled to MTs in the presence of soluble Dam1 were bound by ring-shaped assemblies that moved in a fashion that resembled our theoretical description.

Discussion

Understanding the mechanisms of the force coupling between kinetochores and the ends of spindle MTs is one of the longstanding goals in cell biology. The recent discoveries that Dam1 heterodecamers can oligomerize into rings and couple MT shortening to cargo motility have sparked significant interest in the biomechanical design of this coupler. There are at least two features of its function that await explanation: how it converts the energy of tubulin depolymerization into chromosome motions and how it maintains stable attachment during processive, MT-driven motions.

Toward a Comprehensive Understanding of Dam1 Ring-Dependent **Coupling.** Several properties of Dam1 complexes have so far been studied: (i) their affinity for MTs, (ii) diffusion on the MT wall, (iii) MT-end tracking and other kinetic properties, such as the collection and shedding of moving complexes, and (iv) tracking and force transduction by Dam1-coated beads. All these phenomena reflect some aspects of the interactions between Dam1 heterodecamers and the MT wall, so their quantitative characteristics should correspond. For example, if Dam1 heterodecamers bind strongly to the MT wall, it is highly unlikely that the rings can exhibit fast diffusion. Likewise, rings that diffuse freely on the MT wall should not significantly affect dynamics of the MT end, unless there are justified assumptions about the ring's special behavior near flared PFs. More accurate statements, however, require a mathematic model with which to analyze the quantitative relationships among these various parameters. Even though the data now available are likely to be incomplete, such an approach should help to identify correlations and inconsistencies, as well as to focus future studies on the most critical issues.

There are competing views on various aspects of Dam1dependent motility (12, 14, 16, 17, 19–21, 25, 26), but the systematic analysis of all experimental data are just starting. We have previously developed a theoretical model of the Dam1 ring coupling that was founded on a single key assumption: The Dam1 heterodecamer has only one specific binding site with tubulin (19). We make no special assumptions about the directionality of Dam1-tubulin interactions: A Dam1 heterodecamer must expend the same energy to break its bond with tubulin, regardless of whether Dam1 will detach from the MT completely or move to the next binding site. By examining ring behavior with varying model parameters and comparing these results with experimental data, we have arrived at a cohesive and consistent description of the biomechanical design of this coupler, summarized below.

We propose that a Dam1 ring moves during MT depolymerization through a forced walk mechanism, a mode of motility exhibited specifically by an encircling coupler with a wide diameter and flexible extensions that bind strongly to the tubulin lattice. We presume that Dam1-tubulin affinity is high (most likely 13–15 k_BT), based on biochemical measures (12), the absence of ring accumulation at a shortening MT end (18), the retardation of MT disassembly by Dam1 rings (Fig. 3*A*), and reduced retardation of MT shortening by Dam1 patches (Fig. 3*B*), as well as by a mutant Dam1 in which the formation of rings is reduced (18) and by a mutant with partially truncated protrusions (Fig. 3*F*).

Although small, nonencircling Dam1 oligomers can diffuse fast on the MT wall (18, 21), Dam1 rings show negligible diffusion (D \leq 10^{-13} cm²/s) (18). This coefficient implies that the energy of Dam1-tubulin binding is $>7k_BT$ (19), which is consistent with the estimate based on the rate of Dam1 tracking. Furthermore, our model describes well the amplitudes of forces experienced by beads associated with wild-type and mutant Dam1–19 rings (Figs. 1 and 2) and the jagged character of bead motions (Fig. 4). Model predictions of a ring's tilting on a stable MT and the lack of ring rotation during processive motion are supported by structural data (12, 13, 19) and by the translational motions of ring-attached beads (Fig. S1) (18). The consistencies between model and experiment indicate that these views of the biomechanical design of the Dam1 ring are not far from reality.

Why Rings? Since their discovery, this question has been attracting significant attention (12, 27). It became even more interesting with the finding that ringless Dam1 assemblies can also track shortening MTs. Although much remains to be learned about the coupling properties of Dam1 patches, we see three reasons to favor the view of Dam1-ring coupling as more efficient and reliable than smaller oligomeric forms: (i) Couplers that bind fewer than 13 PFs should collect less force (Fig. 1); (ii) PFs that are not held by the coupler may disassemble faster than the coupler-bound PFs, thereby increasing MT flaccidity and cargo detachment (9, 23, 28); and (iii) Couplers with fewer MT-binding subunits are expected to have weaker attachment to the MT (19). Model calculations depicted in Movie S3 provide visual demonstrations for some of these points. When the tracking ring stalls in this sequence, the flared PFs continue their shortening and their splitting creeps into the MT wall downstream from the ring. Although the MT then begins to loose its integrity, the strongly attached ring hangs on and impedes further PF splaying. When opportunity arises, the ring swiftly moves forward and the bead continues its motion. A coupler like this would be particularly useful in budding yeast, where each kinetochore is stably attached to only one MT.

Future Directions. There are several issues that must be addressed before the above views can be firmly established. First, it is necessary to determine the energy potentials of Dam1–tubulin and Dam1–Dam1 interactions. This analysis should also include various Dam1 mutants, such as Dam1–19. We found that Dam1–19 complexes show faster diffusion and tracking and more abundant collection of complexes but smaller forces and oscillations than wild type. All these findings are consistent with expectations based on our model, but some of these effects are stronger than predicted. Indeed, the fourfold reduction in Dam1–tubulin affinity in Dam1–19 complexes (12) formally corresponds to <2-k_BT change

- Coue M, Lombillo VA, McIntosh JR (1991) Microtubule depolymerization promotes particle and chromosome movement in vitro. J Cell Biol 112:1165–1175.
- Lombillo VA, Nislow C, Yen TJ, Gelfand VI, McIntosh JR (1995) Antibodies to the kinesin motor domain and CENP-E inhibit microtubule depolymerization-dependent motion of chromosomes in vitro. J Cell Biol 128:107–115.
- Inoue S, Salmon ED (1995) Force generation by microtubule assembly/disassembly in mitosis and related movements. *Mol Biol Cell* 6:1619–1640.
- Grishchuk EL, McIntosh JR (2006) Microtubule depolymerization can drive poleward chromosome motion in fission yeast. *EMBO J* 25:4888–4896.
- Tanaka K, Kitamura E, Kitamura Y, Tanaka TU (2007) Molecular mechanisms of microtubule-dependent kinetochore transport toward spindle poles. J Cell Biol 178:269–281.
- Franco A, Meadows JC, Millar JB (2007) The Dam1/DASH complex is required for the retrieval of unclustered kinetochores in fission yeast. J Cell Sci 120:3345–3351.
- Mandelkow EM, Mandelkow E, Milligan RA (1991) Microtubule dynamics and microtubule caps: A time-resolved cryo-electron microscopy study. J Cell Biol 114:977–991.
- Muller-Reichert T, Chretien D, Severin F, Hyman AA (1998) Structural changes at microtubule ends accompanying GTP hydrolysis: Information from a slowly hydrolyzable analogue of GTP, guanylyl (α,β)methylenediphosphonate. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 95:3661–3666.
- Grishchuk EL, Molodtsov MI, Ataullakhanov FI, McIntosh JR (2005) Force production by disassembling microtubules. Nature 438:384–388.
- Koshland DE, Mitchison TJ, Kirschner MW (1988) Polewards chromosome movement driven by microtubule depolymerization in vitro. *Nature* 331:499–504.
- Molodtsov MI, Grishchuk EL, Efremov AK, McIntosh JR, Ataullakhanov FI (2005) Force production by depolymerizing microtubules: A theoretical study. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 102:4353–4358.
- Westermann S, et al. (2005) Formation of a dynamic kinetochore-microtubule interface through assembly of the Dam1 ring complex. Mol Cell 17:277–290.
- Miranda JJ, De WP, Sorger PK, Harrison SC (2005) The yeast DASH complex forms closed rings on microtubules. Nat Struct Mol Biol 12:138–143.
- Westermann S, et al. (2006) The Dam1 kinetochore ring complex moves processively on depolymerizing microtubule ends. Nature 440:565–569.

in binding energy, so the rate of Dam1–19 tracking was expected to rise to 12 μ m/min, whereas we measured 19 μ m/min. This increased effect might be a result of a reduced ring oligomerization of Dam1–19, relative to wild type Dam1. This supposition is supported by structural data (17) and by our observation that Dam1–19 beads exhibited longer dissociation times from MT ends (Fig. 2C and Fig. S3), a feature that we attribute to the presence of nonencircling complexes. These findings highlight the importance of creating tests to accurately compare the efficiency of ring formation for different Dam1 complexes.

It will also be necessary to determine accurately the diffusion coefficient for the Dam1 ring. Measuring Dam1 diffusion on MTs that project into solution is technically challenging, because their thermal motions impede the visualization of dim fluorescent dots. This analysis, however, is one of the most direct ways to determine the contribution of a ring's thermal motions to MT-end tracking. Other important unresolved issues include the analysis of interaction site(s) between Dam1 and tubulin and examination of the rigidity of both the ring's extensions and its core. Close comparison of the results of these approaches with rigorous modeling that is based on explicit assumptions will undoubtedly help us learn how the Dam1 ring works.

Methods

All reagents and experimental conditions were as described in ref. 18. Laser trapping experiments were carried out as in ref. 9 with instruments described in *SI Text* and Fig. S4. In all of our experiments, the QPD was sampled at 4 kHz without additional filtering or processing. Our model for MT depolymerization is based on ref. 29 with modifications and model parameters as in ref. 19. Theoretical description of the motion of ring-associated beads is provided in the *SI Text*.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank M. Molodtsov, J. Welburn, P. Grissom, J. Fang, S. Gustafson, S. Karamzin, E. Salova, and A. Zheleznjakov for technical assistance; M. Porter for the kind gift of *Chlamydomonas* axonemes; and A.I. Vorobjev for support. This work was supported by National Institutes of Health Grant GM033787 (to J.R.M.), Civilian Research and Development Foundation Grant CGP2006B#2863 (to J.R.M. and F.I.A.), and Molecular and Cellular Biology grant from the Russian Academy of Sciences.

- Joglekar AP, Bouck DC, Molk JN, Bloom KS, Salmon ED (2006) Molecular architecture of a kinetochore–microtubule attachment site. Nat Cell Biol 8:581–585.
- Miranda JJ, King DS, Harrison SC (2007) Protein arms in the kinetochore–microtubule interface of the yeast DASH complex. *Mol Biol Cell* 18:2503–2510.
- Wang HW, et al. (2007) Architecture of the Dam1 kinetochore ring complex and implications for microtubule-driven assembly and force-coupling mechanisms. Nat Struct Mol Biol 14:721–726.
- Grishchuk EL, et al. (2008) Different assemblies of the DAM1 complex follow shortening microtubules by distinct mechanisms. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105:6918–6923.
- Efremov A, Grishchuk EL, McIntosh JR, Ataullakhanov FI (2007) In search of an optimal ring to couple microtubule depolymerization to processive chromosome motions. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 104:19017–19022.
- 20. Liu J, Onuchic JN (2006) A driving and coupling "Pac-Man" mechanism for chromosome poleward translocation in anaphase A. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 103:18432–18437.
- Gestaut DR, et al. (2008) Phosphoregulation and depolymerization-driven movement of the Dam1 complex do not require ring formation. Nat Cell Biol 10:407–414.
- Asbury CL, Gestaut DR, Powers AF, Franck AD, Davis TN (2006) The Dam1 kinetochore complex harnesses microtubule dynamics to produce force and movement. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 103:9873–9878.
- Franck AD, et al. (2007) Tension applied through the Dam1 complex promotes microtubule elongation providing a direct mechanism for length control in mitosis. Nat Cell Biol 9:832–837.
- Gildersleeve RF, Cross AR, Cullen KE, Fagen AP, Williams RC, Jr (1992) Microtubules grow and shorten at intrinsically variable rates. J Biol Chem 267:7995–8006.
- Sandall S, Desai A (2007) When it comes to couple(r)s, do opposites attract? Nat Struct Mol Biol 14:790–792.
- Gardner MK, Odde DJ (2008) Dam1 complexes go it alone on disassembling microtubules. Nat Cell Biol 10:379–381.
- Salmon ED (2005) Microtubules: A ring for the depolymerization motor. Curr Biol 15:R299–R302.
- McIntosh JR, Grishchuk EL, West RR (2002) Chromosome-microtubule interactions during mitosis. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 18:193–219.
- Molodtsov MI, et al. (2005) A molecular-mechanical model of the microtubule. Biophys J 88:3167–3179.

Supporting Information

Grishchuk et al. 10.1073/pnas.0807859105

SI Text

Part 1. The Laser Trap and Other Devices. Our instrument is based on the Zeiss Axiophot2 microscope and incorporates lasers for trapping, position detection, alignment, fluorescence excitation and photobleaching. The microscope and laser optics are attached to an optical air table (Melles Griot, model 07 OFA) inside a temperature-controlled room ($\pm 0.5^{\circ}$ C). A shelf above the microscope holds the power blocks for a Zeiss HBO 100W lamp and various control devices. A Plexiglas box surrounds the laser optics to suppress convection currents. The microscope body was extensively modified to improve its mechanical stability and to accommodate five lasers without diminishing its imaging capabilities. The microscope's objective turret was replaced with a custom mount attached to the breadboard and supported from the optical table by two strong aluminum stands; this helps to minimize vibrations from the moving parts of the turret. A microscope stage (Ludl Electronic Products, model 99S000) is manually controlled with a joystick for sample scanning. Mounted on this stage is a three-axis piezo-electric stage (Physik Instrumente, model P-527.3CL), which is used to digitally control the specimen's position in increments of 1.0 nm over a 100 \times $100 \times 20 \ \mu m$ volume. Coarse focusing is accomplished with a nonmotorized focus knob. To minimize downward stage drift, the stage is additionally supported by two springs of adjustable stiffness that compensate for its weight. The microscope condenser was custom built to allow its penetration through the narrow opening in the piezo-stage, but it contains the lenses, polarizer, and Wollaston prism from a Zeiss oil-immersion condenser. For laser trapping and DIC, we used a 1.3-NA Plan-Neofluar 100X objective (Zeiss Inc.). Fluorescent imaging was carried out with 1.4x NA objective (a Plan-Fluar 100X, Zeiss Inc.).

A 488-nm Argon ion laser (Melles Griot, series 532, model 35 LAS 450, 488 nm) and a 532-nm diode laser (Beta Electronics, model MGM100, 532 nm) were used for fluorescence excitation and photobleaching (Fig. S4). Their optical paths are elevated from the optical table, so they can be optically connected with the epi-illumination path. The optical trapping and detection components are similar to those described in refs. 1 and 2. An acousto-optical deflector (IntraAction Corp., model DTD-406BB6) was used for computer steering of the trapping laser beam (Spectra-Physics Lasers, model J20-BL10-106Q, 1064 nm). A detection laser (Blue Sky Research, model FTEC0852-075SFP) provides a 855-nm beam for tracking a trapped bead. A Gelium-Neon 630-nm laser (Melles Griot, model 25 LHR 991-249) was used to facilitate the alignment of the trapping and detection lasers. The trap and detector beams enter the microscope's imaging path below the fluorescence filter cubes, so the cubes can be changed without affecting the intensity or other properties of the beams. These laser beams are directed into the objective by a dichroic mirror (Omega, 730DCSPXR), which allows $\approx 90\%$ transmission of the microscope light from 380 to 700 nm. Signals from the four elements of a quadrant photodetector (QPD, custom built, based on a design by T. Perkins, Boulder CO) are preamplified and passed through a differential amplifier (frequency range 0-100 kHz). This supplies normalized x- and y-position signals and a third signal, which represents the average intensity on all four QPD quadrants before digitization by a 16-bit A/D board (National Instruments, PCI-6070E).

Two-dimensional calibrations were carried out as in ref. 3. The cross-talk between x- and y-position signals was <5%. In all our experiments, the QPD was sampled at 4 kHz without additional

filtering or processing; the smallest detectable bead displacement was ≈ 3 nm; the smallest force measured was ≈ 0.03 pN; free bead relaxation time was < 20 ms. At the end of each useful experiment, the QPD and trap stiffness were calibrated with the same bead by using the equipartition method (4). Programs for calibration and instrument control were written in LabVIEW 6i (National Instruments). Specimen temperature was regulated to $32.0 \pm 0.5^{\circ}$ C by electronically controlled heaters on the condenser (custom made) and objective lenses (Bioptechs).

Part 2. Mathematical Modeling and Data Analysis.

Data analysis. All numbers in figures are means \pm SEMs, unless stated otherwise. MT disassembly rates in the absence of Dam1 were determined by DIC microscopy under the same conditions as in experiments with Alexa488-Dam1. Five measurements of >80 μ m/min in Dam1's absence and two such measurements in the presence of Dam1–19 are not shown in Fig. 3A to reduce figure size, but these values were included in the analyses. Spectral characteristics of bead's tracings were analyzed with MatLab6.5 software.

Mathematical modeling. An MT and interacting ring were modeled and analyzed as described in ref. 5 with modifications. A 0.5- μ m bead is assumed to attach strongly to a single, ring-associated Dam1 heterodecamer. Attachment to two heterodecamers does not change model conclusions. The binding is assumed to be rigid, so the bead's center is always positioned on a line that contains the center of this subunit and the ring. When the ring tilts, the bead may come in contact with the MT wall or with bending PFs. To take this into account, the total energy of the system (Eq. 8 in SI Text in ref. 5) has additional terms. These describe the bead's repulsion from tubulins upstream and downstream from the bead, when the distances between centers of the bead and tubulin monomers are less than the sum of their radii. All other model parameters are the same as in ref. $5:A = 28 k_BT$, $B = 300 \text{ k}_{\text{B}}\text{T}$ /Rad, $\chi_o = 0.2$ Rad, $r_o = 0.8$ Å, $r_{DAM} = 1.4$ Å, $k_{spring} = 0.13$ N/m, $k_{flex} = 20$ k_BT /Rad; unless stated otherwise $k_{DAM} = 13 \text{ k}_{\text{B}}\text{T}.$

Model results: Ring's wobbling is highly stochastic. A ring moving under the depolymerization force will change its orientation, as ring's linkers search for the minimum-energy configurations of their bonding with helical MT lattice. The positions of tubulin in the MT wall and thus the sites of preferred ring binding are periodic. In the absence of thermal fluctuations, the ring's tilting angles should also be periodic, and the spectrum should show a few discrete frequencies, each of which would correspond to the times for specific ring transitions between the most preferred configurations on MT lattice. The amplitudes for these frequencies would reflect the probability of each corresponding transition. However, the stochasticity of ring movement and thermal fluctuations blur these discrete peaks, so in our calculations they appear with different amplitudes for different ring-MT pairs. The pattern of frequency distributions is expected to be even more disordered for a ring-attached bead, because the bead's oscillations do not mirror those of the ring exactly.

Comparison of theoretical and experimental results: Coupling to the bead in the absence of load does not change significantly the ring's tracking. We have analyzed our model's predictions for the behavior of a bead-ring complex, because these predictions can be tested experimentally. The MT-driven ring movements are relatively slow (the measured MT-driven motion of the ring was >100-times slower than the measured bead relaxation time, which was <0.5 ms). Therefore, in aqueous solution and without an applied

load, the bead's thermal motion should not impede ring movements. Indeed, in our model, movements of the center of a ring that is bound to a bead are highly similar to the movements of the same ring without an attached bead (Fig. 4B). This corresponds well with our experimental result that the rate of Dam1 tracking at the end of a depolymerizing MT and the rate of bead movement in the presence of soluble Dam1 were similar (5.4 \pm 0.5 μ m/min vs. 7.9 \pm 1.0 μ m/min, see this work and ref 6).

Comparison of our results with published data. Previous studies have suggested that a Dam1 ring allows MTs to generate pulling force in the 0.5- to 3-pN range (7). These studies have used Dam1coated beads that are prepared highly similarly to ours, but they were done in the absence of soluble Dam1 complexes. Based on this and other facts, such as the absence of strong reducing agents in ref. 7, we have previously suggested that these beads were coupled to the MT tips in the absence of the MT-encircling rings. We have also provided several arguments that indicate that in our assays in the presence of soluble Dam1 the beads are attached to the encircling Dam1 complexes (this work and ref. 6). The maximum force measured in our experiments with these beads was \approx 5 pN (average 2.4 pN). It seems surprising that this value is not much higher than the value reported in ref. 7. However, measurements of the forces transduced by the couplers with different geometries in our system are internally consistent. Forces measured with streptavidin-coated beads, which attach laterally to the MT wall, are approximately sixfold smaller than with Dam1 rings, just as one would predict. We also studied Dam1-coated beads associating with MTs in the absence of soluble Dam1, conditions where rings do not form. In our system, however, these beads fail to bind strongly enough to the GDP-segments of MTs for us to make a measurement, even when the beads were held against the MT wall with the laser trap. This implies that the force such beads can experience from depolymerizing MTs before detaching is too small for us to measure. Thus, in our system, with a small number of Dam1-MT bonds, the forces are 10 times smaller than when Dam1 rings can form.

In our assays the Dam1 forces are almost certainly subjected to a torque. This is unlike a description of a system in which the bead was allowed to attach to the end of a growing MT (7).

- Visscher K, Block SM (1998) Versatile optical traps with feedback control. Methods Enzymol 298:460–489.
- Brouhard GJ, Schek HT, III, Hunt AJ (2003) Advanced optical tweezers for the study of cellular and molecular biomechanics. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 50:121–125.
- Lang MJ, Asbury CL, Shaevitz JW, Block SM (2002) An automated two-dimensional optical force clamp for single molecule studies. *Biophys J* 83:491–501.
- 4. Sheetz MP, ed (1998) Laser tweezers in Cell Biology (Academic Press, San Diego).
- Efremov A, Grishchuk EL, McIntosh JR, Ataullakhanov FI (2007) In search of an optimal ring to couple microtubule depolymerization to processive chromosome motions. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 104:19017–19022.

Specifically, we suggest that the average force of 2.4 pN with which our trap can cause stalling/detachment of the Dam1-ringassociated bead balances ≈ 30 pN from the MT depolymerization force. This interpretation is strongly supported by our reporting here that in our system the smaller streptavidin-coated beads are stalled by larger trapping forces (Fig. 1E). We therefore think that the evidence for the validity of our mechanical interpretations for this system is significant. However, we have no definitive answer to the question of what is being measured in ref. 7. Our experimental systems, reagents, and conditions are quite different from this published study. The forces that they suggest are experienced by the Dam1 complexes under MT depolymerization are in fact the same forces that allowed processive bead motions toward the growing PLUS MT end. In this system, there seems to be a significant possibility that the measurement is reporting the affinity, i.e. force required to detach a Dam1coated bead from the MT end, not a force developed by MT depolymerization. The mechanics of this system has not yet been investigated, and there have been no systematic comparisons under these conditions of different bead sizes and of the encircling vs. nonencircling complexes. Development of the corresponding model would also be helpful in reconciling the results obtained in different experimental systems and under different conditions.

It is noteworthy that in the absence of soluble Dam1, the Dam1-coated beads bound well to the guanosine-5'- $[(\alpha,\beta))$ methyleno]triphosphate (GMPCPP) tips of our segmented MTs, and when the caps were removed with laser bleaching, approximately half of the beads moved with the shortening GDPcontaining segments by rolling on MT surface (6). It is interesting that the beads that show no persistent binding to the GDP-tubulin lattice would move persistently, remaining attached over long distances. We think that the bead's attachment at a shortening MT end is stabilized by its rolling and the fact that the shortening PFs are curled. In a straight segment of MT wall, a bead can bind to only a few dimers, but with the curling PF, the number of bonds should increase, so the bead is attached more strongly, and can move by rolling. This mode of motion seems a poor model for chromosome motility, so we did not pursue its analysis.

- Grishchuk EL, et al. (2008) Different assemblies of the DAM1 complex follow shortening microtubules by distinct mechanisms. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105:6918–6923.
- Asbury CL, Gestaut DR, Powers AF, Franck AD, Davis TN (2006) The Dam1 kinetochore complex harnesses microtubule dynamics to produce force and movement. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 103:9873–9878.
- Franck AD, Powers AF, Gestaut DR, Gonen T, Davis TN, Asbury CL (2007) Tension applied through the Dam1 complex promotes microtubule elongation providing a direct mechanism for length control in mitosis. *Nat Cell Biol* 9:832–837.
- 9. Grishchuk EL, Molodtsov MI, Ataullakhanov FI, McIntosh JR (2005) Force production by disassembling microtubules. *Nature* 438:384–388.



Fig. S1. Wobbling of a theoretical ring. (*A*) Angular variables of ring orientation (see Suppl. ref. 5 for details). Axis *z* is along the MT axis, pointing toward the plus end. (*B*) Model results that demonstrate wobbling of the ring as it moves under the force of a depolymerizing MT ($k_{DAM} = 13 k_B$ T). The angle between the ring's normal and the MT axis oscillates from 5° to 25°, but the ring is almost always tilted (angle θ is 0 when the ring's plane is perpendicular to MT). Angles φ and ψ , which define the orientation of the ring relative to the MT seam, change monotonically and in concert, but their average sum, the rotation angle, does not change significantly. This means that although the ring's undergoes precession (it describes a cone around the MT axis), rotation of the ring is virtually absent (< 20°). Compared with the angular separation between PFs (360/13 ~ 28°), this is modest. Therefore, the linkers between an MT and a theoretical ring coupler walk along their corresponding PFs (Suppl. ref. 5). This result fits well with a behavior of a real Dam1 ring, as visualized via an attached bead: when moving at the shortening MTs, beads show no significant rotations around the MT (Suppl. ref. 6).



Fig. 52. Irregular oscillations of ring-associated beads as predicted by theory and seen in experiment. These theoretical spectra are the same as in Fig. 4*C*, but shown for up to 70 Hz. The traces are for bead motions parallel to the MT axis, unless stated otherwise. Segments of beads tracings were chosen for analysis as illustrated in Fig. 4*E*. Although there is considerable variability in the positions of peaks in the tracings parallel to the MT during beads MT-depolymerization-induced motions (in red), peak values for weaker bonds rarely exceed 4×10^{-3} . Both theoretical and experimental spectra occasionally revealed bead oscillations parallel to the MT depolymerization (blue traces). The stochasticity of the MT-ring-bead system prohibits accurate quantitative comparison of the theoretical predictions and experimental data set, but the overall features of these spectra are similar, while the heights of the peaks in experimental data are reminiscent of the peaks in spectra from stronger binding theoretical rings.



Fig. S3. Analysis of the descending parts of the force signals. When MT depolymerization reaches the site of the bead's attachment, the bead begins to move toward the MT minus end (and away from the trap's center), but a majority of the beads fail to escape from the trap and ultimately fall back to its center. This reverse motion is seen as a descending part of the force signal. It can be characterized with a "relaxation time," the approximate duration of the reverse motion (see Suppl. ref. 9 for details). (A) Histogram distribution for the relaxation times seen in experiments with different Dam1 proteins. With wild-type complexes, the bead usually jumps to the center of the trap abruptly, as if the ring slipped of the MT end or lost its attachment to the bead. With the Dam1–19 mutant, longer relaxation times are more common. They are usually seen in signals with smaller force amplitudes (*B*), similar to a behavior of the beads attached to MTs with nonencircling couplers (Suppl. ref. 9). This observation suggests that some of these beads were attached to the nonencircling patches of Dam1–19, rather than full rings. These ringless oligomers could have contributed to the fast rate of MT-end tracking of Dam1–19 complexes (Fig. 3*F*). Future work should focus on quantitative characterization of the ring formation by Dam1–19 oligomers, so that the contribution from the nonencircling forms of Dam1–19 can be taken into account.



Fig. S4. Diagram of our microscope system. See SI Text.

DNAS



Movie S1. Force measurement with wild type Dam1. Dam1-coated beads were allowed to bind to capped MTs preequilibrated with soluble Dam1. The initial image was acquired with a GFP filter and shows a fluorescent bead (arrow) attached to a MT decorated with Dam1 dots. Subsequent images were taken every second with low-light DIC through a Texas Red filter. A bright green light was turned on to disperse the MT cap 13 s after the start of the experiment. The trapped bead shows virtually no motion, except a tiny jerk (arrows) toward the cluster of axonemes. An untrapped bead (arrowhead) becomes visible as it moves toward the axonemes at 6 μ m/min. Both the axonemes and this bead move rapidly at the end of the video because of stage shifts (arrowheads on Fig. 4*E*). The trapped bead does not move, which verifies its complete separation from the MT. (Scale bar, 2 μ m.)

Movie S1 (MOV)

DNAS



Movie S2. Diffusion of the mutant Dam1–19 complexes. MTs were elongated from coverslip-attached seeds (double arrowheads) and were stabilized by capping their plus ends with rhodaminated GMPCPP tubulin (single arrowhead). After washing away the nucleotides and soluble tubulin, Alexa488-labeled Dam1–19 was allowed to bind the MTs. Green images were acquired continuously with 250-ms exposures by using the GFP filter (played three times faster). Some images were acquired through the Texas Red filter; they confirm that the dots move over the GDP portion of this MT. The MT projects freely in the solution, as is evident from its arc-like thermal motions. A kymograph for this sequence is shown in Fig. 3C.

Movie S2 (MOV)

DNAS



Movie S3. Wobbling of the theoretical ring and its associated bead. Video shows calculated configurations for a Dam1 ring attached to a bead (Dam1-tubulin energy $13k_BT$). The ring is bound to an MT with 13 protofilaments whose subunits make a three-start, left-handed helix, the most common MT structure *in vivo*. When the MT depolymerizes, the ring begins to move at 4.6 μ m/min. The plane of the moving ring changes its orientation repeatedly without ring rotation around the MT (Fig. S1). This "wobbling" is largely stochastic, but certain orientations are repeated with different frequencies. This video also illustrates the MT-stabilizing properties of the ring coupler. When a ring's motion stalls, PF bending cannot pass the ring, even though the segment of the MT wall immediately under the ring shows some "breathing". In an analogous situation, but with a nonencircling coupler, the PFs that are not associated with the coupler would have continued their disassembly, thereby degrading the stability of the cargo's attachment. Model parameters were as described in *SI Text* Part 2. Video is played $100 \times$ slower than "real" time.

Movie S3 (MOV)